The Drosophila SK Channel (dSK) Contributes to Photoreceptor Performance by Mediating Sensitivity Control at the First Visual Network
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The contribution of the SK (small-conductance calcium-activated potassium) channel to neuronal functions in complex circuits underlying sensory processing and behavior is largely unknown in the absence of suitable animal models. Here, we generated a Drosophila line that lacks the single highly conserved SK gene in its genome (dSK). In R1–R6 photoreceptors, dSK encodes a slow Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) current similar to its mammalian counterparts. Compared with wild-type, dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors and interneurons showed accelerated oscillatory responses and adaptation. These enhanced kinetics were accompanied with more depolarized dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors axons, assigning a role for dSK in network gain control during light-to-dark transitions. However, compensatory network adaptation, through increasing activity between synaptic neighbors, overcame many detriments of missing dSK current enabling dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors to maintain normal information transfer rates to naturalistic stimuli. While demonstrating important functional roles for dSK channel in the visual circuitry, these results also clarify how homeostatically balanced network functions can compensate missing or faulty ion channels.

Introduction

Small-conductance calcium-activated potassium (SK) channels play a fundamental role in excitable cells, linking changes in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) to membrane potential (Stocker, 2004). SK channels are voltage-independent and upon indirect activation by Ca\(^{2+}\) through a constitutively bound calmodulin (Xia et al., 1998), they cause membrane hyperpolarization, thus inhibiting cell firing and shaping frequency of repetitive action potentials (Wolfart et al., 2001; Hallworth et al., 2003). At the synapse, SK channels couple to calcium sources and negatively regulate synaptic transmission and plasticity underlying learning and memory (Faber et al., 2005; Ngo-Anh et al., 2005). SK channels seem also important in refining communication and connectivity in sensory systems. In the mammalian retina, SK is expressed in the retinal ganglion cells, in the horizontal and the dopaminergic amacrine cells of the inner nuclear layer, and have been implicated in activity-dependent plasticity during development (Shatz, 1990; Wang et al., 1999; Klöcker et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2009).

The vertebrate retina and the insect lamina (first optic neuropil) share the design principle of massively parallel processing, implemented through rich networks of interconnected microcircuits with multiple-contact synapses (Meinertzhagen and Sorra, 2001; Sanes and Zipsursky, 2010). In the Drosophila lamina, histaminergic R1–R6 photoreceptors synapse (Hardie, 1987, 1989; Gengs et al., 2002) onto the primary interneurons: the large monopolar cells (LMCs: L1–L3), and the amacrine cells (ACs) (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991; Uusitalo et al., 1995a). In turn, L2 and AC feedback onto photoreceptor terminals via excitatory inputs (Sinakevitch and Strausfeld, 2004; Kołodziejczyk et al., 2008). Upon light stimulation, photoreceptors depolarize while LMCs/ACs hyperpolarize (Shaw, 1984; Zheng et al., 2006), which thereby reduces excitatory feedback onto the photoreceptors. Accordingly, voltage responses in the photoreceptor-LMC-photoreceptor network appear shaped together and adapt together (network adaptation), presumably to best encode and route light information over multiple pathways (Nikolaev et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2009). Nonetheless, this simplified view of processing excludes the less studied contribution of glia and interneurons: C2–C3 fibers, L4–L5, T1 and Tan cells.

Three mammalian genes encode for similar SK1-3 subunits with overlapping expression patterns (Köhler et al., 1996). Despite the available pharmacological studies, there have been no suitable animal models to explore how SK channels affect complex network activity that underlies sensory integration and be-
Behavior. The presence of a single SK gene in the Drosophila genome provides an opportunity to investigate the function of this channel in a well established genetic animal model. Here we combine fly genetics with electrophysiology to show that dSK contributes to photoreceptor performance by mediating sensitivity control at the lamina network. dSK/H11002 flies exhibited fast, oscillatory network responses leading to fast adapting photoreceptors with a slightly broader frequency bandwidth. Furthermore, mutant photoreceptors had altered physiology: lower input resistance and higher resting potential in the dark, suggesting that they entertained larger depolarizing conductances at photoreceptor axons from extrinsic inputs. This was supported by their normal somatic light-induced currents and high dSK expression in the lamina circuits. Surprisingly, dSK/H11002 photoreceptors had normal encoding capacity, highlighting the robustness of the network adaptation for maintaining appropriate information processing.

Materials and Methods

Generation of dSK− mutant and constructs. SKf07979 and SKf01403 piggy-Bac lines were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. Using the FRT sites present in these two lines and FLP-mediated recombination we generated a deletion in the dSK gene (Fig. 1). The intended modifications were verified by PCR amplification and DNA sequencing across the deleted region. The regenerated piggyBac element was precisely excised to make w−dSK− flies. These flies were outcrossed to Canton-S to establish a w−dSK− recombinant stock. The OK371-Gal4 line was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. UAS-dSK (myc-tagged) rescue construct was prepared by PCR amplification of the entire coding (short SK isoform) and 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions from the EST clone GH16664, and inserting this fragment into pUAST. We designed a specific set of primers to insert a myc-tag at the C terminus. To generate a dominant-negative dSK subunit (UAS-SKDNmyc), we used site-directed mutagenesis to change the K pore residues (GYG) in the rescue construct into (AAA). The dominant-negative mutation and the myc-tag insertions were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Production of anti-dSK antibody and Western blot analysis. We generated anti-dSK antibody against the N-terminal domain of the protein. The region encoding the full N-terminal portion of the long dSK protein isoform (first 480 aa) was reconstituted using double-PCR from the EST clones GH16664 and GH01258, which contained the regions encoding the last 80 aa and the first 415 aa of the N terminus, respectively. This reconstituted fragment was subcloned into the pMAL-c2x and pGEX-4T.1 vectors. The MBP fusion protein was affinity purified and polyclonal antisera were generated offsite (Covance). dSK antibodies from animal bleeds were affinity purified using a SulfoLink column (Pierce) coupled to GST fusion proteins. Western blot analysis was performed on membrane proteins as previously described (Kwon and Montell, 2006). Briefly, fly heads of either sex were homogenized in 1× PBS solution and incubated on ice for 15 min. Following a maximum speed centrifugation for 10 min in a Microfuge,
the pellet was resuspended in 1× PBS solution, containing 1% Triton X-100 and incubated on ice for 15 min. After centrifugation for 10 min at 8000 rpm, the supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred into nitrocellulose membranes and the protein bands were detected by Western blot analysis with dSK antibodies at a 1:2500 dilution.

**Protein sequence analysis.** Protein sequence alignment was performed using Vector NTI software from Invitrogen. dSK membrane topology was predicted by running a Kyte and Doolittle algorithm in Gene Inspector software.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Adult fly heads of either sex were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution in PBS for 3 h. Heads were then washed five times for 5 min with PBS, containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (PBST). Brains were then dissected in ice-cold PBST, washed two times for 10 min with PBST, blocked for 1 h with PBST, containing 3% normal goat serum, and incubated with primary antibody in blocking solution for 1 d at room temperature. Samples were washed five times for 5 min with PBST, incubated with secondary antibodies in blocking solution for 2 h at room temperature, washed three times for 5 min, and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs) for confocal microscopy. Imaging was performed using a Nikon A1RSi confocal microscope and the Nikon Elements software. dSK antibodies were used at a 1:2000 dilution. nc82 and 24B10 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa) antibodies were used at 1:50.

**Eye sections.** Heads of dark-reared flies of either sex were dissected, fixed, and embedded as previously described (Chinchor et al., 2009). Eye cross sections (1 μm) were cut using a Sorvall ultra microtome MT-1, stained with toluidine blue and borax, and observed using a Zeiss Axiosplan2 microscope. Digital images were captured using Optronics DEI-750 camera and MetaVue (Universal Imaging) software.

**Whole-cell recordings.** Dissociated ommatidia were prepared from recently eclosed adult flies of either sex and transferred to a recording chamber on an inverted Nikon Diaphot microscope (Hardie et al., 2002). The control bath solution contained the following (in mM): 120 NaCl, 5 KCl, 10 N-Tris(hydroxymethyl)-methyl-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (TES), 4 MgCl₂, 1.5 CaCl₂, 25 proline, and 5 alanine. Osmolarity was adjusted to ~283 mOsm. The standard intracellular solution used in the recording pipette was composed of the following (in mM): 140 K gluconate, 10 TES, 4 Mg²⁺, 1 NAD, and 0.4 Na GTP. For Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchange study, cells were exposed to 50–100 μM ouabain for ~1 min before recording to block Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase. Extracellular Na⁺ concentration was varied from 120 to 0 mM by equimolar substitution with CsCl, KCl, or LiCl. The intracellular solution also contained either 10 or 20 mM NaCl, and Cs⁺/K⁺ gluconate reduced as necessary to maintain osmolarity. For some experiments, 15 mM TEA was included to improve the estimates.

**Whole-cell recordings.** Cells were stimulated by a green-light-emitting diode with intensities calibrated in terms of effective photon emission at 350–450 nm. The light stimulus was delivered through a fiber optic bundle, mounted on a rotatable Cardan arm, subduing 5° as seen by the fly. Its luminance was controlled by neutral density filters (Kodak Wratten), covering a 4 log unit range up to 6 × 10⁶ photons/s (Juusola and Hardie, 2001). Figures show results for dim (6000 photons/s), medium (6 × 10⁵ photons/s), and bright luminance (6 × 10⁶ photons/s), corresponding to log 3, log 1, and log 0. The responsiveness of the cells was tested by repeated presentations of light pulses or naturalistic light intensity series (10,000 points/s). Naturalistic stimulus patterns were selected from the van Hateren natural stimulus collection (van Hateren, 1997). Since their luminance was adjusted by placing neutral density filters on the light source, the stimulus sequence retained its contrast constant (c = ΔI/I).

Voltage responses were amplified by an SEC-10L single-electrode amplifier (Noni Electronic) in current-clamp mode using 15 kHz switching rate. The stimuli and responses were low-pass filtered at 500 Hz (Keystone), and sampled at 1 or 10 kHz. The data were often resampled/processed off-line at 1–2 kHz for the analysis. Stimulus generation and data acquisition were performed by custom-written Matlab (Math-Works) programs: BIOSYST (Juusola and Hardie, 2001; Juusola and de Polavieja, 2003), with an interface package for National Instruments boards (MATDAQ; H. P. C. Robinson, 1997–2005).

**Data analysis.** Signal and noise components of photoreceptor voltage responses were estimated both in the time and frequency domains. The signal was obtained from the average of consecutive 1000-ms-long voltage responses to a repeated naturalistic light intensity pattern, and its power spectrum was calculated using Matlab’s fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm. Only steady-state adapted responses were analyzed; the first 10–20 responses were omitted because of their adaptive trends. The noise was the difference between individual responses and the signal, and its power spectra were calculated from the corresponding traces (Juusola et al., 1994). Therefore, for an experiment using n trials (with n = 40–90), there was one signal trace and n noise traces. Both signal and noise data chunks were divided into 50% overlapping stretches and windowed with a Blackman-Harris-term window, each giving seven 250-point-long samples. Thus, we obtained 280–630 spectral samples for the noise and seven spectral samples for the signal. These were averaged, respectively, to improve the estimates.

A triple extrapolation method (Juusola and de Polavieja, 2003) was used to estimate the rate of information transfer, R, of steady-state-adapted photoreceptor voltage responses to naturalistic stimulus, NS. This method, unlike signal-to-noise ratio analysis, requires no assumptions about the signal and noise distributions or their additivity (Juusola and de Polavieja, 2003). Photoreceptor voltage responses were digitized by sectioning them into time intervals, T, that were subdivided into smaller intervals t = 1 ms. (Only dim luminance data were downsampled to 125 Hz, giving t =
8 ms, which better represented their slow dynamics.) This approach captures ‘words’ of length $T$ with $T/t$ ‘letters.’ The mutual information between the response, $s$, and the stimulus is then the difference between the total entropy:

$$H_s = - \sum_{i} p(s_i) \log_2 p(s_i),$$

and the noise entropy:

$$H_N = - \sum_{\tau} \left( \sum_{i} p(\tau_i) \log_2 p(\tau_i) \right),$$

where $p(\tau)$ is the probability of finding the $i$th word at a time $t$ from the onset of the trial. This probability $p(\tau)$ was calculated across trials to the repeated NS. The values of the digitized entropies depend on the length of the ‘words’ $T$, the number of voltage levels $v$, and the size of the data file, $H_{T,v,\text{size}}$.

The estimates for the entropy rate, $R_s$, and noise entropy rate, $R_N$, were then extrapolated from the values of the experimentally obtained entropies to their successive limits, as described by Gonzalez-Bellido et al. (2011).

$$R = R_s - R_N = \lim_{T \to \infty} \lim_{v \to \infty} \lim_{\text{size} \to \infty} \left( H_{T,v,\text{size}}^{\text{entropy}} - H_{T,v,\text{size}}^{\text{noise}} \right).$$

The difference between the entropy and noise entropy rates gives then the rate of information transfer (Shannon, 1948; Juusola and de Polavieja, 2004). In this allele ($dSK^{\text{H9270}}$), the exons encoding the six transmembrane, $K^+$ pore, and calmodulin binding (CAMBD) domains. Both the N and C termini show more divergence across species, a feature also seen among different SK genes within species, a feature also seen among different SK genes within the same species (Köhler et al., 1996). Finally, hydropathy tests predict a similar $dSK$ membrane topology as with the mammalian SK orthologs (data not shown).

Based on this structural similarity, we predicted $dSK$ to have conserved functions in the CNS. To study its role in the adult brain, we first aimed to isolate viable mutations in the $dSK$ gene. Given its large size, imprecise excision of transposable P-elements was inefficient in disrupting the $dSK$ gene. Instead, we generated a well defined deletion in $dSK$ using FLP-FRT-mediated recombination (Parks et al., 2004). In this allele ($dSK^{-}$), the exons encoding the six transmembrane and CAMBD domains (Fig. 1 B, C) were deleted, and the allele was predicted to be a null. We verified the genomic structure of this deletion by DNA sequencing and PCR amplification (Fig. 1 D). Flies homozygous for this allele were fertile and viable.

**dSK encodes a slow $Ca^{2+}$-activated $K^+$ current in Drosophila photoreceptors**

Does the fly express any slow $Ca^{2+}$-activated $K^+$ current? In *Drosophila* larval muscle, two $Ca^{2+}$-activated $K^+$ currents, $I_{\text{Ca}}$ and $I_{\text{Ca},0}$, have been distinguished in conventional voltage-clamp experiments (Gho and Mallart, 1986). The *slowpoke* mutation (*slo*) specifically eliminates the fast current, $I_{\text{Ca}}$ (Singh and Wu, 1989; Komatsu et al., 1990). No such molecular correlate has been identified for the slow $I_{\text{Ca},0}$ current. In the eye, one earlier study reported the existence of a slow $Ca^{2+}$-activated cationic current in photoreceptors from dissociated ommatidia under voltage-clamp in the whole-cell configuration (Hardie, 1995). This novel conductance was uncovered by increasing intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ concentration and was distinguished from the light-activated conductance by being smaller ($300 \text{ pA vs 20 nA}$) and $trp$ (transient receptor potential) independent. Later, this current was postulated to be carried by $K^+$ (Gu et al., 2005).

To test whether $dSK$ underlies such a current, we evoked the slow $Ca^{2+}$-activated cationic current in whole-cell recordings from photoreceptors in dissociated ommatidia (Fig. 2 A, B) by artificially driving reverse $Na^+$/$Ca^{2+}$ exchange to increase intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ (Gu et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005). In this method, the current was induced by substituting $Cs^+$ or $K^+$ for extracellular $Na^+$ while recording with a high intracellular $Na^+$ ($20 \text{ mm}$) in the electrode. The $Na^+$-free substitution activated a reverse $Na^+/Ca^{2+}$ exchange ($Ca^{2+}$ influx for $Na^+$ eflux), which...
on the basis of ion substitution with external 125 mM Cs to control from ion substitution currents at each voltage step. Here, the dSK current was triggered by 1-EBIO. Whole-cell currents were recorded at a holding potential of −70 mV. Mean ± SEM on the basis of n = 4–13 cells per data point with various external Na+ concentrations and holding potential of −70 mV. Data were fitted with apparent half-maximal activation 2.9 μM and Hill coefficient of 1.34. B, Current–voltage (I/V) relationship of the dSK current induced by reverse Na+/Ca2+ exchange. WT (n = 7) and dSK−/− (n = 6) photoreceptors were subject to voltage steps between −110 mV and +70 mV (20 mV steps) before (control) and after ion substitution (Ca2+ activation and dSK induction). The I/V plot was achieved by subtracting control from ion substitution currents at each voltage step. Here, the dSK current was triggered by ion substitution with external 125 μM Cs2+. The internal electrode included TEA. The dSK current shows inward rectification (compare with dSK+). C, Activation of dSK current by 1-EBIO. Whole-cell currents were recorded at −70 mV from WT in response to 1-EBIO (500 μM) applied to the bath Ringer’s solution containing 40 mM KCl. A slowly developing inward current of −50 pA, which remains active indefinitely during 1-EBIO perfusion, is evoked in WT photoreceptors (n = 12 cells). This conspicuous EBO-induced current is absent in both dSK−/− (n = 8 cells) and dominant-negative (n = 6 cells) lines.

Figure 3. Characterization of the dSK current. A, Ca2+ dependence. Intracellular Ca2+ levels were controlled by using different extracellular Na+ concentrations (Na+) to manipulate the Na+/?Ca2+ exchanger equilibrium. Predicted intracellular Ca2+ level (Ca2+) was calculated from:

\[
\text{[Ca}^{2+}\text{]} = \frac{\text{[Ca}^{2+}\text{]}_\text{in}}{\text{[Na}^+\text{]}} = \frac{\text{[Na}^+\text{]}_\text{e}}{\text{[Na}^+\text{]}} 
\]

Internal solution contained 10 or 20 mM Na+. External bath contained 40 mM K+, 85 mM Na+, 1.5 mM Ca2+4, and 4 mM Mg2+. SK currents were evoked by rapid perfusion with different Na+ (substituted with Li+, which was essentially impermeant) and normalized to maximum currents elicited by 15 mM Na+(predicted Ca2+28 μM with 10 mM Na+ at −70 mV). Mean ± SEM on the basis of n = 4–13 cells per data point with various external Na+ concentrations and holding potential of −70 mV. Data were fitted with apparent half-maximal activation 2.9 μM and Hill coefficient of 1.34. B, Current–voltage (I/V) relationship of the dSK current induced by reverse Na+/Ca2+ exchange. WT (n = 7) and dSK−/− (n = 6) photoreceptors were subject to voltage steps between −110 mV and +70 mV (20 mV steps) before (control) and after ion substitution (Ca2+ activation and dSK induction). The I/V plot was achieved by subtracting control from ion substitution currents at each voltage step. Here, the dSK current was triggered by ion substitution with external 125 μM Cs2+. The internal electrode included TEA. The dSK current shows inward rectification (compare with dSK+). C, Activation of dSK current by 1-EBIO. Whole-cell currents were recorded at −70 mV from WT in response to 1-EBIO (500 μM) applied to the bath Ringer’s solution containing 40 mM KCl. A slowly developing inward current of −50 pA, which remains active indefinitely during 1-EBIO perfusion, is evoked in WT photoreceptors (n = 12 cells). This conspicuous EBO-induced current is absent in both dSK−/− (n = 8 cells) and dominant-negative (n = 6 cells) lines.

thereby raised intracellular Ca2+ level (complete Na+ substitution, Ca2+ in excess of 10 μM at −70 mV). In wild-type photoreceptors, using Cs+ as charge carrier (SK channels have finite permeability for Cs) this procedure activated a large inward current of up to −250 pA (Fig. 2C). This conspicuous current was also permeable to K+ (Fig. 3) (but not Li+: data not shown), was maximally activated within seconds after reverse Na+/Ca2+ exchange, and inactivated upon Na+ return. Furthermore, the current was Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent as it was absent either when extracellular Ca2+ was removed or in cam flies lacking calmodulin (Fig. 2C, D). The Ca2+ dependence, quantified by using different concentrations of Na+ to manipulate the Na+/Ca2+ equilibrium, showed an apparent EC50 of 2.9 μM (Fig. 3A). Importantly, the current was abolished in dSK−/− photoreceptors and fully restored when a UAS-SKmyc rescue construct was expressed in the dSK−/− null background (Fig. 2C, D). We propose that this novel slow Ca2+-activated, K+- and Cs+-permeable current isolated from Drosophila photoreceptors is the electrophysiological correlate of dSK.

Although the dSK channel is voltage insensitive, its current–voltage relationship is not linear, exhibiting increased conductance with hyperpolarization (Fig. 3B). This inward rectification, also shown earlier (Hardie, 1995), is shared with its mammalian homologs, suggesting a similar voltage-dependent block by intracellular divalent cations. SK channels are pharmacologically distinguished from BK channels by their insensitivity to low concentrations of external tetraethylammonium or TEA (Blatz and Magleby, 1986). Similarly, the dSK current was not affected by TEA application (Fig. 2E, F). Mammalian SK channels are enhanced by the agonist 1-Ethyl-2-benzimidazolizoline (1-EBIO) and blocked by apamin, a honeybee venom toxin (Blatz and Magleby, 1986; Pedarzani et al., 2001). In dissociated photoreceptors, 1-EBIO induced an SK current in wild-type but not in dSK−/− null photoreceptors (Fig. 3C). However, the dSK current was not altered by apamin treatment, suggesting that dSK is apamin insensitive (Fig. 2E, F). Two amino acid residues, 243S and 340D have been shown to be important for apamin binding in SK2 channel (Ishii et al., 1997; Nolting et al., 2007). Interestingly, neither residue is present at the equivalent positions (191K and 286H) in the dSK primary amino acid sequence (Fig. 1A).

In summary, Drosophila photoreceptors express a dSK-dependent Ca2+-activated K+ current, which bears high resemblance to the mammalian SK current, pointing toward conserved role(s) for this conductance in neurons. We also speculate that dSK is the molecular correlate of the apamin-insensitive slow Ca2+-activated K+ current (Ica) recorded at the larval muscle and whose identity has long been unknown (Gho and Mallart, 1986; Singh and Wu, 1989).

dSK is required for normal photoreceptor light response

To test the contribution of dSK channel to the photoreceptor voltage output in vivo, we performed intracellular recordings from dSK−/− null photoreceptors and their wild-type counterparts (Fig. 4A) to intensified light flashes (Fig. 4B, C). Both sets of photoreceptors responded with graded transient depolarizations, covering similar ranges (Fig. 4B, C). However, dSK−/− photoreceptors showed accelerated kinetics; their responses reached peak amplitudes faster and recovered to resting potential earlier (Fig. 4B, C). Here, we recall that the voltage output of fly photoreceptors constitutes a complex convolution of light current (Hardie and Raghu, 2001), light-insensitive membrane filtering and feedbacks from their neuronal neighbors (Shaw, 1984; Weckström and Laughlin, 1995; Juusola and Hardie, 2001; Zheng et al., 2006). The dSK mutation could, therefore, affect any or all of these mechanisms, leading to the observed fast responses.

To exclude the possibility that dSK deletion could lead to developmental defects and altered photoreceptor morphology, we examined eye sections of dark-reared flies. Both wild-type and dSK−/− eyes consisted of highly ordered units or ommatidia, which had normal photoreceptors with intact rhabdomeres (Fig. 4D, E, respectively). However, prolonged light exposure results in minor changes in photoreceptor integrity (data not shown). To test whether the dSK deletion could potentially affect the properties of the phototransduction machinery, leading to altered light-induced currents, we assessed quantum bumps (elementary responses to single-photon absorptions; Fig. 4F) and impulse responses (Fig. 4H) to light flashes using whole-cell recordings from R1–R6 photoreceptors in dissociated ommatidia (Hardie et al., 1991). In this preparation, the dissociated photoreceptors lack axonal terminals and thus any synaptic feed-
back. Bump waveforms in dSK− photoreceptors were indistinguishable from those of the wild-type photoreceptors (Fig. 4F, G). Furthermore, macroscopic responses to increasing light intensities were similar in wild-type and mutant photoreceptors and shared the same kinetics (Fig. 4H, I). These data suggest that neither photoreceptor morphology nor the phototransduction machinery is affected by the dSK deletion.

The faster responses in dSK− photoreceptors could be caused by faster charging through the photo-insensitive membrane, if the membrane bandwidth is limiting. In the Diptera, species with fast or slow responding photoreceptors exploit different combinations of K+ conductances to tune the photoreceptor membrane to match the fly’s visual ecology (Weckström and Laughlin, 1995). In the absence of dSK, an increase in compensatory K+ conductances could, for example, lower the membrane input resistance, and thus its time constant, helping to explain the observed fast responses in dSK− photoreceptors. To test this possibility, we again used whole-cell recordings from photoreceptors in dissociated ommatidia (which lack axons) (cf. Fig. 2B). Interestingly, compared with wild-type, mutant cells had ∼25% reduction in Shaker (Iₐ) current but normal slow delayed rectifier (Iᵥ, or Shab) current (data not shown). In addition to the absence of dSK current, the observed decrease in Iᵥ current should, all things being equal, lead to higher membrane resistance and slower responses. Consequently, the photo-insensitive membrane properties together with the normal phototransduction machinery suggest that the observed faster intracellular responses in mutant photoreceptors reflect a role for dSK in later membrane processes at the photoreceptor axon/synapse.

dSK is highly enriched in the optic lobe

To examine the expression pattern of dSK in the fly brain, we generated a rabbit anti-dSK polyclonal antibody against the N-terminal domain of the protein. Using Western blot analysis on adult head extracts, we detected two bands from wild-type flies that were absent from dSK−, confirming that the latter is a null allele (Fig. 5A). While we cannot rule out the presence of other dSK isoforms from other body parts, our data suggest that there could be two dSK isoforms expressed in adult heads. Alternatively, the double bands could correspond to one dSK isoform and its post-translationally modified form.

Immunostaining for dSK in the adult fly brain revealed a broad expression throughout several CNS regions (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, higher expression levels were observed in the lamina and medulla neuropils of the optic lobe with a weaker expression in the retina. Despite the weak retinal expression, it must be functional within the retina since dSK activity can be detected in isolated photoreceptors. In the lamina, dSK showed a remarkable colocalization with Bruchpilot (Fig. 5C), a marker of presynaptic active zones (Wagh et al., 2006), highlighting a potential role for dSK in neurotransmitter release at the first visual network. In the central brain, dSK was present in several neuronal processes with strong expression in the projection neuron axons connecting the antennal lobe to the mushroom bodies (Fig. 5B). This strikingly high abundance in the visual and olfactory systems points toward a conserved role for dSK in sensory processing and olfactory learning.

In the lamina, axon terminals of R1–R6 photoreceptors make histaminergic feedforward synapses with the primary visual interneurons: the LMCs (L1–L3) and the ACs. In return, photoreceptor terminals receive direct feedback inputs from the L2 and AC interneurons via ligand-gated feedback synapses (Meinertzhagen and Sorra, 2001). Within the lamina synaptic region, dSK could either be pre- and/or postsynaptic (Fig. 5C). Colocalization with chaoptin, a specific membrane marker of photoreceptors cells (Reinke et al., 1988; Van Vactor et al., 1988), indicated that dSK is highly abundant in photoreceptor axons (Fig. 5D, H). The AC and L2 interneu-
rons were previously shown to be glutamate positive (Sinakevitch and Strausfeld, 2004; Kolodziejczyk et al., 2008; Raghu and Borst, 2011). We decorated glutamatergic neurons in the adult brain with a membrane GFP (UAS-mCD8GFP) using OK371-Gal4, an enhancer trap line inserted in the Drosophila vesicular glutamate transporter (DVGLUT) gene (Mahr and Aberle, 2006). Although dSK showed expression in some lamina neurons, those neurons were nonglutamatergic (Fig. 5E). In the proximal lamina, some of them formed lateral branches (Fig. 5F), characteristic of cholinergic L4 monopolar cells (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989; Kolodziejczyk et al., 2008). In the medulla, the dSK antibody labeled axonal terminals of nonglutamatergic and, possibly, some overlapping glutamatergic neurons (Fig. 5E). The terminals of cholinergic L4s occupy layer M4, while those of L5 monopolar cells spread delicately into layers M1 and M2 (which also contain L1 and L2 terminals, respectively; marked by triangle) and more densely into M5 (Fischbach and Dittrich, 1989). The dense dSK expression in probable M4-M5 layers (marked by star) implies that L4 together with L5 or L1 monopolar cells, or all of them, were expressing dSK.

To summarize, dSK is highly enriched at the lamina synaptic network where it localizes to photoreceptor axons and a group of nonglutamatergic monopolar cells; including L4s, which receive indirect input from R1–R6 photoreceptors through the lamina network but form feedback synapses both to L2 monopolar cells and R1–R6 terminals (Fig. 5G) (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991). Nonetheless, since dSK is absent from the major AC feedback interneurons, and also from L2 monopolar cells, the direct synaptic feedbacks to R1–R6 photoreceptors axons should be less affected. dSK expression pattern, therefore, provides an additional evidence that dSK contributes to photoreceptor voltage response by fine-tuning feedforward output and/or feedback input at photoreceptor axons.

dSK contributes to the photoreceptor axon membrane potential in the dark

Given this high expression at the layer of the first visual synapse, dSK channels may play a role in facilitating sensitivity regulation.
by counteracting Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in this network where the feedforward and feedback crosstalk tightly adjusts the potentials of the cells (Zheng et al., 2006). In the dark, LMCs and AC receive less feedforward inputs and therefore are more depolarized. This, in return, will adjust the photoreceptor’s potential to more positive values (compared with dissociated photoreceptors) due to increased feedback inputs from the interneurons. Consequently, dSK removal from synapses in this network would disrupt its intricate balance in the dark, altering electrical properties of photoreceptors. To test this hypothesis, we performed in vivo intracellular recordings from dark-adapted wild-type and dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors (Fig. 6A–C). Strikingly, voltage responses evoked by negative current steps revealed that input resistance of the dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors was significantly decreased relative to the wild-type cells (Fig. 6B,C). The decrease in input resistance was also accompanied with a more depolarized resting potential in the dark (Fig. 6C). These findings strongly suggest an increase in depolarizing conductances in/dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptor axons. While it is likely to contribute to the more positive value of the dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptor resting potential, the reduced Shaker conductance, together with removal of dSK conductance, would be expected to result in higher resistance at rest. We, therefore, conclude that the observed lowered input resistance is likely to result from increased axonal depolarizing conductance and not from excess K\(^{+}\) conductance (which would hyperpolarize the membrane appreciably relative to wild-type).

Overall, these data support a role for dSK in fine-tuning photoreceptor resting potential and its input resistance. Additionally, the decrease in photoreceptor’s input resistance explains, at least partially, the faster light responses seen in the intracellular recordings from the mutant photoreceptors (Fig. 4B, C). Furthermore, our expression data, the normal light-induced current, and the photo-insensitive membrane dynamics strongly suggest that this lowered input resistance and the consequent fast dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptor responses are attributable to a change in photoreceptor’s axonal inputs and/or output in the dark.

dSK mediates light-dependent sensitivity regulation at the lamina network

Blocking mammalian SK channels has been shown to enhance activity-dependent synaptic transmission and plasticity, suggesting a role for SK in coupling to and negatively regulating synaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) sources (Faber et al., 2005; Ngo-Anh et al., 2005). To test the effect of dSK removal on light-dependent activity in the lamina network, we first recorded intracellular voltage responses of photoreceptors in wild-type and dSK\(^{-}\) flies to prolonged light pulses. We discovered that in most recordings the responses of mutant photoreceptors oscillated distinctively at 40 Hz and 70 Hz during and after prolonged light adaptation (LA) (Fig. 6D, E). Notably, the oscillations depended on light history (cf. before and after LA) and were boosted at lower potentials (cf. during and after LA) that should drive stronger LMC/AC feedbacks into photoreceptors (Zheng et al., 2006). Furthermore, in the dark, when their output showed no oscillations, dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors were noisier than their wild-type counterparts (Fig. 6E, before LA); probably due to a barrage of depolarizing synaptic inputs into their axons, leading to the observed low input resistance (Fig. 6C). Nonetheless, since these recordings were performed at the level of photoreceptor somata, the observed dynamics were likely to underestimate the processing taking place at the axon terminals.

We next recorded intracellularly from postsynaptic LMCs in vivo (Fig. 7A). Although both wild-type and dSK\(^{-}\) LMCs re-
resting potential after cessation of light stimulus (data not shown); again reflecting oscillatory network responses that occur at low potentials when feedback synaptic input is highest. Thus, these findings provide further evidence that dSK channels oppose intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) increases, which are expected to peak during and immediately after light changes, and by this sensitivity control improve packaging of neural messages within the limited signaling bandwidth of the synapses.

**dSK role in photoreceptor adaptation and coding**

So far our results have shown that removal of the dSK channel from the synaptic microcircuits between photoreceptors and LMCs leads to activity-dependent oscillations in the lamina network. These perturbations were accompanied with altered response properties of photoreceptors, including decrease in their input resistance, more depolarized resting potentials and faster light-induced voltage responses. Next, we asked to what degree these changes, many of which mimicked electrophysiological effects of light-adaptation (Kloeker et al., 2001; Nikolaev et al., 2009), affected the adapting properties and signaling performance of dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors.

Our experiments were designed to quantify how well wild-type and dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors could encode different light patterns (i.e., their intensity resolution) over a wide range of luminances. The photoreceptors were stimulated by repeatedly presenting naturalistic time series of contrasts, collected from natural environments (van Hateren, 1997), while recording their intracellular voltage responses. Consistent with the results of the light pulse experiments, the responses of dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptor mostly oscillated at dim stimuli (Fig. 8A), during which the gain of feedforward and feedback synapses is high (Zheng et al., 2006). However, some recordings lacked oscillations and many oscillated only sporadically; in some cases, oscillations died out during stimulation. All these observations underline the variable dynamic nature of gain regulation in the lamina network.

Interestingly, during the stimulation, the mean output of mutant photoreceptors adapted to the tested stimulus luminances much faster than that of wild-type photoreceptors (Fig. 8B), suggesting that the lamina network was tonically driving them to the new stimulus luminance, as wild-type photoreceptors do, and coding properties of photoreceptors, including decrease in their input resistance, more depolarized resting potentials and faster light-induced voltage responses. Next, we asked to what degree these changes, many of which mimicked electrophysiological effects of light-adaptation (Kloeker et al., 2001; Nikolaev et al., 2009), affected the adapting properties and signaling performance of dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors.

Our experiments were designed to quantify how well wild-type and dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors could encode different light patterns (i.e., their intensity resolution) over a wide range of luminances. The photoreceptors were stimulated by repeatedly presenting naturalistic time series of contrasts, collected from natural environments (van Hateren, 1997), while recording their intracellular voltage responses. Consistent with the results of the light pulse experiments, the responses of dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptor mostly oscillated at dim stimuli (Fig. 8A), during which the gain of feedforward and feedback synapses is high (Zheng et al., 2006). However, some recordings lacked oscillations and many oscillated only sporadically; in some cases, oscillations died out during stimulation. All these observations underline the variable dynamic nature of gain regulation in the lamina network.

Interestingly, during the stimulation, the mean output of mutant photoreceptors adapted to the tested stimulus luminances much faster than that of wild-type photoreceptors (Fig. 8B), suggesting that the lamina network was tonically driving them to elevated states of activity, in tune with their lower input resistance (cf. Fig. 6B). However, early in the adaptation phase, as a sign of limited gain control, the dynamic range of dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors contracted significantly (Fig. 8C). Then, instead of desensitizing to the new stimulus luminance, as wild-type photoreceptors do, most dSK\(^{-}\) photoreceptors sensitized to it (6 of 8 cells); their responses grew larger as the contrast pattern was repeated, reaching a relative steady-state in ~12 s of bright naturalistic stimulation. This recovery rate (τ ~ 6 s) matches the rate of network
adaptation in wild-type LMC output (τ = 1–7 s), which improves temporal representation of similar stimuli (Zheng et al., 2009), suggesting that inputs from the lamina network might be amplifying dSK photoreceptor output over time. After removing the first 20 responses with clear adapting trends, the photoreceptor signal was estimated by averaging the rest of the responses (Fig. 8D), and the photoreceptor noise was taken as the difference between individual traces and the signal. Their power spectra indicated that, apart from the dimmest stimulation (cf. Fig. 8A), once steady-state adapted, dSK photoreceptors produced larger responses to fast light changes than wild-type cells, allocating more power to higher output frequencies (>50 Hz; Fig. 8E), but that these fast responses were more variable (Fig. 8F). Because oscillations in voltage responses of dSK photoreceptors were sporadic or died out during the repeated stimulation, they contributed relatively little on this analysis (Fig. 8D), which thus can underestimate their real impact. In fact, we expect the oscillations and reduced gain control of dSK photoreceptors at light-dark transitions to blur vision more when a mutant fly locomotes in its natural environment, as this requires even more demanding spatiotemporal gain changes in the lamina network. Nonetheless, here the striking finding was that the variable signal and noise dynamics of wild-type and dSK photoreceptors provided unexpectedly similar information captures of naturalistic stimuli over a large luminance range, saturating at ~300 bits/s at bright stimulation (Fig. 8G). These results highlight the inherent robustness of encoding in the lamina network (Niven et al., 2003; Vähaäsoyrinki et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2006).

Cellular localization of dSK functions at the first visual layer
Both light-dependent oscillations and light-independent electrophysiological changes in the lamina network indicate suboptimal sensitivity regulation in photoreceptors and/or interneurons in dSK flies, suggesting dSK has a direct role in synaptic communication as an adaptive damper. To further map dSK’s contribution to the lamina network, we used the UAS/GAL4 system to inactivate dSK in a cell-specific manner. Taking advantage of the fact that a functional SK channel is tetramer of four α-SK subunits, we designed a dominant-negative dSK subunit (UAS-SKDNNmyc) in which the K⁺ pore “GYG” was mutated into “AAA.” When expressed in a wild-type background, this subunit completely suppressed the dSK current induced from photoreceptors using either the ion exchange protocol (Fig. 2C,D) or EBIO in dissociated ommatidia (Fig. 3C).

We targeted this dominant-negative dSK subunit to the R1–R6 cells using the eye-specific longGMR-GAL4 driver. Intracellular recordings from photoreceptors expressing a dominant-negative dSK subunit showed accelerated response kinetics, approaching those seen in dSK photoreceptors (Fig. 9). However, no light-dependent oscillations were detected in such photoreceptors, although their conductance deficits match those of dSK photoreceptors (Figs. 2, 3C), suggesting that oscillations seen in mutant photoreceptors and LMCs might result from a less adequately tuned network. This view is consistent with the dSK
imunostaining results (Fig. 5), which suggested that in dSK mutants, glutamatergic feedbacks from L2 and AC interneurons to photoreceptor axons should function normally, while some nonglutamatergic contacts in their lamina might not (including those of L4 LMCs). In clear contrast, in flies, where photoreceptor axons express functionally impaired dominant-negative dSK, the lamina is otherwise normal, and so better equipped to compensate this loss in neuronal function (Fig. 9) by tuning its network functions. Thus, oscillations in mutant cells probably arose from improper control of synaptic gain in dSK- LMCs, and/or possibly in other interneurons.

Discussion

The essential characteristic of the Drosophila lamina is its massively parallel synaptic connections (Meintzschlen and O’Neill, 1991), which, similar to our retina, supposedly evolved to rely on plasticity to redistribute information about environmental regularities to multiple retinotopic pathways (Barlow, 1961). Its robust design can also withstand mutations or damage without losing much of its encoding performance (Zheng et al., 2006). Indeed, we discovered that many perturbations of missing dSK channels appeared to have been compensated homeostatically by network functions; most likely by tuning synaptic currents. Nonetheless, this compensation, which disrupted the photoreceptor membrane properties, accelerating responses and some aspects of their adaptation, was suboptimal. Consequently, we could establish that dSK channels in wild-type mediate activity-dependent inhibition in the lamina network, preventing responses from oscillating while its synapses operate with high gain; presumably to curb the costs of noise (van Hateren, 1992) and energy (Laughlin et al., 1998). These results concur with the view that dSK current counteracts light-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increases, which drive transmitter release from the photoreceptor axons and interneurons, refining their representations of visual information in changing conditions.

The dSK fly model

To our knowledge, this study provides the first description of an SK animal genetic model. Unlike mammals and C. elegans, which have three and four SK genes, respectively (Köhler et al., 1996; Salkoff et al., 2005), the fruit fly genome contains a single highly conserved SK gene (dSK) that encodes a remarkably similar current to the mammalian counterpart. Our report is also the first to evaluate the impact of SK channel on neuronal and network functions, which affect adaptation and signaling performance of photoreceptors. Because of this new model system, and the new insight it has given about sophisticated network functions, it is now possible to start dissecting the contributions of dSK channel and dSK-expressing cells in circuits, involved in complex behaviors, addiction, and learning and memory.

Homeostatic sensitivity regulation

The photoreceptor output is sign-inverted by LMCs/ACs’ histamine-receptors and then partially fed back to photoreceptors through synaptic conductances (Fig. 10). Darkening hyperpolarizes photoreceptors, reducing their tonic histamine release (Uusitalo et al., 1995b; Zheng et al., 2006). This in turn depolarizes LMCs/ACs, increasing their feedbacks to photoreceptor axons (Zheng et al., 2006). These excitatory conductances can explain why in the dark, photoreceptors of the fully functioning network are more depolarized than the dissociated photoreceptors, which lack axons.

At the photoreceptor terminals, the slowly hyperpolarizing K\(^{+}\) conductances of dSK channels (Fig. 2B) are likely to facilitate local inhibition by offsetting voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) increases, as a part of axonal sensitivity control that refines waveforms and patterning of presynaptic signals (Fig. 10A). Here, dSK might be also required in fine-tuning histamine release, whereby any synaptic transmission defect would lead to increased feedback inputs into photoreceptor axons (Fig. 10B). These scenarios (Fig. 10A, B) are not mutually exclusive and in the absence of dSK would result in increased synaptic feedback conductances from the lamina interneurons, leading to the observed lowered input resistance and the more depolarized resting potential of photoreceptors. They are further supported by our expression data, and the intact morphology and phototransduction machinery in dSK- photoreceptors.

During and following prolonged light exposure, both dSK- photoreceptor and LMC voltage responses oscillated in an activity-dependent manner, implying that in wild-type, dSK channels would have a direct role as adaptive dampers in synaptic communication. It is possible that these perturbations resulted from faulty synaptic gain control in dSK-expressing nonglutamatergic interneurons, because inactivation of dSK only in photoreceptors was not sufficient to induce them, and because in mutant, dSK seemed not expressed in interneurons, refining their representations of visual information in changing conditions.

Figure 9. Photoreceptors expressing a dominant-negative dSK subunit have faster light responses. A, Voltage responses of WT, dSK- , and photoreceptors with specific dSK dominant-negative expression (red dashed line, DNSK in R1–R6) to a bright and a dim 10 ms pulse in the dark. dSK- and DNSK in R1–R6 responses rise and decay significantly faster than WT. B, Voltage ranges of all genotypes are similar. C, Time-to-peak of the responses is as fast in photoreceptors expressing a dominant-negative dSK subunit as in dSK- photoreceptors when compared with wild type (p < 0.0184, n = 7 cells; Student’s t test). The genetically altered cells have faster responses over most of the tested light intensities.
which feedback to both photoreceptor axons and L2 monopolar cells (Fig. 10) in the same and neighboring lamina cartridges (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991), and thus are ideally placed to mediate adaptive network functions (Strausfeld and Campos-Ortega, 1977).

To maximize visual information, network adaptation to dim environment involves integration over space and time, whereupon functional connectivity increases between cells (redundancy), smoothing low signal-to-noise images. But when adapting to bright environment its cells operate more independently, as lateral and temporal inhibition reduces redundancies to sharpen high signal-to-noise images (van Hateren, 1992). Thus, we speculate that faulty gain control in the lamina branches of $dSK^{+/H11002}$ L4 monopolar cells would hinder such connectivity transitions between dark- and light-adapted network states; affecting the rate of adaptation in photoreceptor and LMC outputs and making them more susceptible to oscillations. This view is further supported by the recording statistics from $dSK^{-}$ flies: all R1–R6 receive inputs from L4, and correspondingly most photoreceptor outputs showed suboptimal adaptation and oscillated; only L2 monopolar cells receive inputs from L4 and only $\sim$40% of LMC outputs oscillated. These observations highlight how the neuronal functions in the early motion pathways can depend upon adaptive gain control, leading to different behavioral outcomes in different stimulus conditions (Zheng et al., 2006; Rister et al., 2007; Katsov and Clandinin, 2008; Nikolaev et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2009; Joesch et al., 2010).

**Robustness of dynamic coding in $dSK^{-}$ mutant photoreceptors**

Despite the fast oscillatory responses, $dSK^{-}$ photoreceptors revealed a near-normal encoding capacity. The decreased input resistance in $dSK^{-}$ photoreceptors is similar to that found in $Shaker$ and $Shab$ mutant photoreceptors (Niven et al., 2003; Vahäsöyrinki et al., 2006), where it has been argued to compensate for mutant defects and underlie the robustness of encoding. In $Shaker$ photoreceptors, the decrease in input resistance partially restores the efficient use of the operating voltage range (Niven et al., 2003). Conversely, $dSK^{-}$ photoreceptors, like $Shab$, show remarkable robustness in their light–voltage relationships, sensitivities, and reliability of dynamic encoding (rate of information transfer).

Although it is unclear whether the underlying mechanisms are the same, the lower input resistances in all these mutant photoreceptors are believed to be direct manifestations of compensation. In this study, the lowered input resistance, measured from intact $dSK^{-}$ photoreceptors in vivo, indicates an increase in conductance at the photoreceptor axon. Here we propose that this compensation results from feedback synaptic inputs from the neighboring interneurons, because everything else being equal, instead of depolarizing $dSK^{-}$ photoreceptors, excess of $K^{+}$ and/or $Cl^{-}$ leak-conductances would work to hyperpolarize the cells toward the reverse potentials of these ions ($\sim$80 mV) (Niven et al., 2003). Thus, even if such leaks existed—a possibility that we

---

**Figure 10.** $dSK$ contributes to photoreceptor performance by fine-tuning synaptic transmission at the photoreceptor-LMC-photoreceptor network. In this model, $dSK$ is expressed in photoreceptor axons, while glutamatergic AC interneurons and L2 monopolar cells, which form the direct synaptic feedbacks to photoreceptor axons, do not express $dSK$. In addition, photoreceptor axons and AC/L2 receive extra inputs from the lamina network, through functional contacts with nonglutamatergic LMCs that express $dSK$, including L4s. Within R1–R6 axons, $dSK$ counteracts $Ca^{2+}$ and fine-tunes neurotransmitter release. In the dark, LMCs receive less feedforward input from photoreceptors and are, therefore, more depolarized. In the absence of $dSK$, the observed depolarized resting potential and the lowered input resistance can be attributed to an inability to zero photoreceptor axonal voltages (A) and/or increased feedback synaptic inputs into photoreceptor axons due to misregulated histamine release (B). In the light, photoreceptors are more depolarized and, therefore, the feedback input is reduced (both direct and extra). In the dark/dim conditions, an inability to fine-tune neurotransmitter release from nonglutamatergic LMCs (most likely L4), at least partially, can lead to the observed oscillatory responses in both photoreceptors and LMCs (particularly in L2s) in $dSK^{-}$ flies.
cannot exclude—they would be masked by the depolarizing sym-
naptic conductances from the network. Furthermore, response dy-
namics of photoreceptors, with inactivated dSK channels, were
closer to wild-type when the network was normal rather than
mutated (cf. Fig. 9A), implying that extrinsic conductances (from
the network) shape photoreceptor output more than intrinsic
leak conductances (which, if dominating, should produce iden-
tical outputs for the two cases).

The faster kinetics and retuned adapting properties of dSK⁻¹
photoreceptors impose a constant high energy cost to maintain
both a low input resistance and a depolarized resting potential
in the dark, suggesting that the mutants are at a clear disadvantage.
Thus, managing energy costs is a powerful evolutionary objective (de
Polavieja, 2002), which together with noise and various behavioral
objectives (de Polavieja, 2004), supposedly refined the molecular
constituents of the lamina network to overcome the limitations of its
unreliable, slow hardware.
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